

Structural-Constructivist Mapping of Human Needs: A Core Emotion Framework Synthesis

Author: Jamel Bulgaria

ORCID: [0009-0007-5269-5739](https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5269-5739)

Contact: <mailto:admin@optimizeyourcapabilities.com>

Date: May 12, 2026

ARCHIVE:

- https://huggingface.co/datasets/CoreEmotionFramework/CEF_Main_Archive/tree/main
 - <https://www.optimizeyourcapabilities.com/Publications/>
 - <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=ORdecUoAAAAJ>
 - <https://philpeople.org/profiles/jamel-bulgaria>
 - <https://zenodo.org/communities/030303/>
 - <https://osf.io/hz53j/>
- Preregistration:
- <https://osf.io/ac4x2/overview>
- Pilot study:
- <https://osf.io/fydsq/wiki?wiki=i7q8g>
-

Abstract

The landscape of modern affective science remains divided between discrete emotion theories, which treat emotions as innate biological categories, and constructivist models, which frame them as socially assembled narratives. This divide often forces practitioners to work around secondary cognitive stories rather than addressing the mechanical drivers of human motivation and distress. The Core Emotion Framework (CEF), authored by Jamel Bulgaria, resolves this

**) We welcome feedback on the preregistration and study design, and invite researchers who are interested in peer-reviewing the system to contact us. We also encourage scholars across all disciplines to conduct their own independent research on any aspect of the Core Emotion Framework. Author assumes no societal or substantial gains from this framework, just for public and academic service.*

impasse by defining the “Human Operating System” through ten irreducible functional operators—tangible internal movements that regulate systemic aperture and structure action. By treating operators as the emotional equivalent of CPU instructions, the CEF provides a structural-constructivist foundation for mapping universal human needs, including those articulated by Tony Robbins, Manfred Max-Neef, and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). This paper presents the first integrated mapping of these need systems through operator logic, demonstrating how each need corresponds to specific operator configurations, center dynamics, and functional modes.

Version Note. This document represents the **first formal version** of the CEF–Human Needs mapping. As the Core Emotion Framework continues to be studied, tested, and refined, it is expected that specific mappings, operator pairings, and structural interpretations will evolve. Future versions will incorporate empirical findings and theoretical developments to maintain precision, rigor, and alignment with emerging insights.

Keywords: Core Emotion Framework (CEF); Human Operating System; emotional operators; structural-constructivism; Robbins Six Human Needs; Max-Neef Fundamental Needs; Self-Determination Theory (SDT); operator mapping; affective architecture; emotional agility; systemic aperture; conative grounding.

The Human Operating System: Functional Architecture and the Decalogue of Operators

The Core Emotion Framework posits that all emotional states are decomposable into a small, non-overlapping set of operators, functioning as the emotional equivalent of CPU instructions.³ These operators are distributed across three primary functional hubs: the Head (Cognitive-Evaluative), the Heart (Affective-Relational), and the Gut (Conative-Foundational).¹ This 3x3 + 1 architecture ensures that every psychological movement possesses a designated structural home and a specific regulatory role within the broader system.¹

The Head Center serves as the processor and navigator, responsible for environmental mapping and logical sequencing.¹ It utilizes Sensing for raw data intake, Calculating for structured evaluation, and Deciding for commitment to a stable orientation.¹ The Heart Center acts as the engine of drive and relational aperture, employing Expanding for openness, Constricting for protection, and Achieving for goal-directed mastery.¹ The Gut Center provides the motoric foundation and somatic grounding, utilizing Arranging for logistics, Appreciating for value recognition, Boosting for energetic momentum, and Accepting as the universal baseline for settling and recovery.¹

Table 1: The Canonical Operator Decalogue of the Core Emotion Framework

Center	Functional Hub Role	Operator	Directionality	Somatic Signature	Functional Purpose
<i>Head</i>	Processor / Navigation	Sensing	Clockwise (CW)	Widening behind eyes	Intake of raw perceptual data
<i>Head</i>	Processor / Navigation	Calculating	Counter-CW (CCW)	Narrowing forehead	Structured evaluation / pattern recognition
<i>Head</i>	Processor / Navigation	Deciding	Swing (Midline)	Firmness in the jaw	Binary commitment / orientation
<i>Heart</i>	Engine / Drive	Expanding	Clockwise (CW)	Chest widening / warmth	Relational openness / inclusivity
<i>Heart</i>	Engine / Drive	Constricting	Counter-CW (CCW)	Chest tightening / bracing	Protection / boundary setting
<i>Heart</i>	Engine / Drive	Achieving	Swing (Midline)	Coordinated forward move	Mastery / goal fulfillment
<i>Gut</i>	Foundation / Motoric	Arranging	Clockwise (CW)	Abdominal readiness	Practical structure / logistics
<i>Gut</i>	Foundation / Motoric	Appreciating	Counter-CW (CCW)	Pelvic softening	Value recognition / resonance
<i>Gut</i>	Foundation / Motoric	Boosting	Swing (Midline)	Core propulsion / charge	Energetic momentum / surge
<i>Gut</i>	Foundation / Motoric	Accepting	Inward Spiral	Global settling	Universal baseline / recovery

The tenth operator, Accepting, acts as the "off-mode" for the entire system, preventing burnout by providing the conative grounding necessary to metabolize gains and restore presence.¹ Psychological health is defined within this framework as "operator independence"

and "emotional agility"—the capacity to activate, modulate, and transition between these functional states without falling into chronic fusion or rigid loops.¹

Mapping the Six Human Needs through Operator Logic

The model of the Six Human Needs, developed by Tony Robbins, categorizes human motivation into four "personality needs" (Certainty, Variety, Significance, Connection) and two "fulfillment needs" (Growth, Contribution).⁹ While traditional psychology views these as psychological cravings, the CEF reinterprets them as specific configurations of operator activation and center dominance.⁶

Certainty and the Reflecting Mode

Certainty represents the need for security, stability, and predictability.⁹ In the CEF, this need is primarily operationalized through the Reflecting (Counter-Clockwise) operators: Calculating in the Head hub and Constricting in the Heart hub.¹ Calculating seeks to reduce ambiguity by compressing and structurally refining sensed material into predictable patterns.⁷ Constricting provides the "tonic grip" necessary to establish boundaries and energy conservation, mirroring the Polyvagal Theory's description of the dorsal vagal complex's protective role.¹

When the need for Certainty becomes dominant, the system enters a "Calculating-Constricting" loop, which the CEF identifies as a precursor to clinical anxiety.⁷ In this state, the individual artificially controls their environment by narrowing their aperture (Constricting) and over-analyzing data (Calculating) to avoid perceived risks.¹¹ This structural rigidity prevents the system from accessing the Outgoing operators necessary for growth.¹

One exception is the Gut center (active-conative) where the outgoing operator "Arranging" is more predictable and certain than the reflecting "Appreciating" which is wishy washy. This is because when a person is outgoing in action, the prediction becomes more important than in thinking and feeling. The Gut center contains a structural inversion: its outgoing operator (Arranging) is more predictable and structured than its reflecting operator (Appreciating), which is fluid, receptive, and less choosy.

While Certainty is structurally generated by the Reflecting operators (Calculating and Constricting), the behavioral pursuit of Certainty is primarily enacted through Arranging. Individuals attempt to create external predictability through logistical structuring, routines, and environmental control, using the Gut's outgoing operator to compensate for internal ambiguity.

Variety and the Outgoing Mode

Variety, or Uncertainty, is the need for change, stimulation, and novelty.⁹ This is the functional opposite of Certainty and is driven by the Outgoing (Clockwise) operators: Sensing and Expanding.⁷ Sensing is characterized by a "perceptual hunger" that gathers raw information without immediate interpretation, while Expanding drives the engine of drive toward inclusivity and relational warmth.¹

A healthy system maintains agility between these modes, allowing the individual to switch from the protective narrowness of Constricting to the exploratory width of Sensing.¹ Variety becomes dysfunctional when it manifests as a "Sensing-Boosting" fusion, where the individual chronically seeks new stimuli without ever allowing the system to settle into Accepting or Appreciating.¹ Variety overload typically appears in two forms: Sensing-Boosting, which produces stimulus-seeking without integration, and Expanding-Boosting, which produces relational or experiential overreach without structure.

Here the exception is again in the Gut center where the outgoing 'Arranging' is less variable than the left 'Appreciating' operator, which is fluid and less choosy, tending to resonate with anything that gives the zest.

Significance and the Vector of Achievement

Significance—the need to feel unique, special, and important—is mapped through the Balancing (Swinging) operators, specifically Achieving in the Heart and Boosting in the Gut.¹ Achieving is the vector operator that translates intention into coordinated movement and mastery, while Boosting provides the energetic "charge" necessary for assertion.¹

Tony Robbins identifies two ways to achieve the "tallest building" of Significance: through hard work (Achieving) or by tearing others down (Significance through violence).⁹ The CEF explains this distinction as the difference between "Mastery Achieving" (clean Balancing activation) and "Significance-Constricting Fusion," where an individual gains a sense of importance by narrowing their focus and diminishing the environmental field.¹ If Significance is met through unhealthy means, the system enters a "Threshold Breach" where the individual may sacrifice long-term goals for immediate validation.⁹

Some people use the Sensing operator in fusion with Achieving, trying to climb higher and break records in order to be perceived as one of a kind, and show the world that it can produce something that nobody did, instead of doing normal steps for success like a true Achieving and using Sensing for its own sake, to gather the data.

Connection, Love, and the Relational Aperture

The fourth need, Connection and Love, involves the need for closeness and belonging.⁹ This is

primarily facilitated by the Heart's Expanding operator and the Gut's Accepting operator.¹ Expanding enables the transition from self-protection to inclusive relational reach, while Accepting allows for the softening and yielding required for true intimacy.¹

The CEF posits that many individuals settle for "Connection" because it can be achieved through a partial activation of Expanding, whereas "Love" requires the higher-load activation of Accepting—a state of total surrender of resistance that many systems avoid due to past trauma or "Capacity Rigidity".⁷ Accepting serves a dual function in the CEF: it is both the system's universal baseline for recovery and the relational surrender operator required for deep intimacy. When Connection is pursued through "Compliance Fusion," the individual abandons personal needs (silencing Boosting and Deciding) to maintain external approval, a pattern central to Avoidant Personality Disorder.¹

Growth and Contribution: The Spiritual Fulfillment Vectors

Growth and Contribution are defined by Robbins as "spiritual needs" necessary for lasting happiness.¹⁰ In the CEF architecture, Growth is reinterpreted as the continuous cycle of "Expanding-Sensing-Calculating-Deciding," where new environmental data is integrated into the system's core orientation, supported by the momentum of Boosting.¹ Progress, which Robbins equates to happiness, is the mechanical result of the system completing the Expanding-Sensing-Calculating-Deciding cycle and then translating that orientation into forward momentum through Achieving and Boosting.⁹

Contribution—giving beyond oneself—is the externalization of the Arranging and Achieving operators.¹ Arranging provides the logistical infrastructure to support others, while Achieving ensures that the contribution is effective and masterly.¹ These needs are met when the system is operating at high agility, allowing the individual to transcend their own "personality needs" and impact the broader social system.¹

Table 2: Mapping Robbins' 6 Human Needs through CEF Operators and Fusions

Human Need	Primary CEF Operators	Functional Mode	Potential Dysregulation (Fusion/Rigidity)
<i>Certainty</i>	Calculating / Constricting	Reflecting	Anxiety: Over-Calculating + Over-Constricting.
<i>Variety</i>	Sensing / Expanding	Outgoing	Overwhelm: Expanding + Boosting (no structure).
<i>Significance</i>	Achieving /	Balancing	Perfectionism: Calculating + Achieving.

	Boosting		
<i>Connection</i>	Expanding / Accepting	Outgoing / Baseline	Collapse: Accepting + Expanding (loss of boundaries).
<i>Growth</i>	Boosting / Deciding	Balancing	Hypervigilance: Sensing + Calculating (searching for meaning).
<i>Contribution</i>	Arranging / Achieving	Outgoing / Balancing	Overcommitment: Deciding + Achieving + Arranging.

Max-Neef's Fundamental Human Needs and the Matrix of Satisfiers

The theory of "Human Scale Development," proposed by Manfred Max-Neef, provides a multi-dimensional taxonomy of human needs that are finite, classifiable, and universal across all cultures and historical periods.¹⁶ Max-Neef distinguishes between the nine axiological needs (Subsistence, Protection, Affection, Understanding, Participation, Idleness, Creation, Identity, and Freedom) and the existential categories (Being, Having, Doing, and Interacting).¹⁷ The CEF provides the mechanical "Doing" layer for this system, illustrating how internal operator movements act as the engine for these various satisfiers.⁶

Subsistence and Protection as Conative Baselines

Subsistence refers to the basic requirement of staying alive, involving physical/mental health and nourishment.¹⁷ In the CEF, this is primarily the domain of the Gut hub's baseline operators: Accepting and Arranging.¹ Accepting ensures the "off-mode" recovery necessary for cellular and psychological health, while Arranging manages the logistical "Having" of food and shelter.¹

Protection involves safety, order, and autonomy.¹⁶ In the CEF, the felt experience of Protection is structurally generated by the Reflecting operators Constricting (Heart) and Calculating (Head), which together tighten boundaries, reduce ambiguity, and scan for potential threat.¹ However, the behavioral implementation of Protection is enacted through the Gut's outgoing operator Arranging, which creates the external predictability required for real-world safety. While Constricting and Calculating brace and evaluate, Arranging builds the protective scaffolding—through structure, planning, routines, and environmental control—that stabilizes the system. This explains why individuals with heightened Protection needs often over-organize, over-prepare, or rely on rigid routines: they are using Arranging to satisfy a Constricting–Calculating demand for safety.

Max-Neef identifies “violators” or “destroyers”—satisfiers such as arms races or bureaucratic overreach that appear to meet the need for Protection but simultaneously undermine other needs like Participation or Freedom.¹⁷ The CEF interprets these as cases of Operator Overflow, where defensive activation becomes so intense that it suppresses Deciding and Expanding, leading to systemic rigidity, isolation, or collapse.¹

Affection: The Structural Interplay of Openness and Surrender

Affection arises from the pairing of Expanding and Accepting: Expanding provides relational openness and inclusion, while Accepting provides the yielding and non-resistance that allow closeness to form. Affection is therefore not an emotional state but the structural interplay of openness and surrender, enabling genuine relational contact without collapse or compliance.

Understanding and Participation: The Cognitive-Social Axis

Understanding requires critical conscience, curiosity, and rationality.¹⁷ In the CEF, these qualities correspond to the Sensing–Calculating pair: Sensing provides perceptual intake (the raw material for curiosity and conscience), while Calculating organizes, evaluates, and refines that material into coherent patterns (the mechanical basis of rationality). Understanding is therefore not a motivational state but the structural integration of new information through Sensing and Calculating.¹

Participation—the need to affiliate, cooperate, and dissent—maps to the Outgoing and Balancing modes of the Heart and Gut.¹⁷ In the CEF, Participation arises from the pairing of Expanding and Arranging: Expanding provides relational openness and inclusion, while Arranging supplies the structural clarity that allows contribution to take form. Participation is therefore not emotional engagement but the coordinated interplay of openness and structure.¹

Idleness, Creation, and Identity: Reflective and Generative Power

Idleness (Leisure) represents the need for imagination, tranquility, and peace of mind.¹⁷ This is satisfied by the Gut center’s Appreciating and Accepting operators.¹ Appreciating allows the system to pause and savor existing value, while Accepting yields resistance and allows for restorative “off-mode” states.¹

Creation is the structural pairing of Achieving and Arranging: Achieving provides the vector that moves an idea into form, while Arranging supplies the logistical scaffolding that makes execution possible. This process is initiated by the Sensing–Calculating insight cycle, which generates the material that Achieving and Arranging then translate into reality.

Although Expanding can widen the aperture that precedes creative insight, the act of Creation

itself is not an Expanding process. Creation is mechanically driven by the Sensing–Calculating insight cycle and executed through the Achieving–Arranging pair, which translates insight into structured form.

Identity—the sense of continuity and meaning—emerges from the Sensing–Arranging pair. Sensing provides the perceptual intake of meaning, while Arranging structures that meaning into a coherent internal pattern. Appreciating does not construct identity; it simply resonates with what has already been structured. Identity is therefore a Head–Gut integration, not a Gut-reflecting phenomenon.¹

Freedom and the Power of Decision

Freedom, involving autonomy and the structural ability to choose, is reinterpreted by the CEF as the full activation of the Deciding operator. Deciding is described as the operator of ‘common sense’ and is not assigned to any specific Enneagram type because it represents a universal human capacity for orientation and commitment. Many psychological blockages are ‘operator silencings’ of Deciding, leaving the individual unable to choose between competing perspectives. Freedom, therefore, is not the absence of constraints but the structural ability to commit to an orientation (Deciding) and maintain momentum through Boosting. Freedom is also a sign of emotional agility, whereas emotional rigidity arises from operator fusion, where the system collapses multiple operators into a single undifferentiated response.

Table 3: Max-Neef Axiological Needs Mapped through CEF Operators

Axiological Need	CEF Primary Center	CEF Primary Operators	Satisfier Category (Doing)
<i>Subsistence</i>	Gut	Accepting, Arranging	Feed, procreate, rest, work.
<i>Protection</i>	Heart / Head	Constricting, Calculating	Cooperate, prevent, plan, take care of.
<i>Affection</i>	Heart	Expanding, Accepting	Share, caress, express emotions.
<i>Understanding</i>	Head	Sensing, Calculating	Study, investigate, meditate, analyze.
<i>Participation</i>	Gut / Heart	Arranging, Expanding	Affiliate, propose, dissent, interact.
<i>Idleness</i>	Gut	Appreciating, Accepting	Daydream, relax, have fun, play.
<i>Creation</i>	Head /	Sensing, Achieving,	Invent, build, design, compose.

	Heart / Gut	Arranging	
<i>Identity</i>	Head / Gut	Sensing, Arranging	Commit, integrate, recognize meaning.
<i>Freedom</i>	Head	Deciding	Choose, run risks, develop awareness.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) and the Midline Axis

Self-Determination Theory (SDT) posits three basic psychological needs as essential for well-being: Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness.⁵ The CEF reinterprets these needs as the outputs of a well-aligned midline axis—the "Swinging" operators that integrate the Outgoing and Reflecting modes.¹

Autonomy and the Deciding Operator

Autonomy is the need to be the perceived origin of one's own behavior.⁵ In the CEF, this is directly tied to the Deciding operator in the Head hub.¹ Deciding produces "saturated realization"—the stabilized outcome of processed sensing and calculating.⁷ A lack of autonomy, or "poverty of freedom," occurs when Deciding is silenced, leaving the individual trapped in reflexive actions (Constricting) rather than intentional choices.¹

Competence and the Mastery Operators

Competence is the need to feel effective in interacting with the environment.⁵ This maps to the Achieving operator (Heart) and the Arranging operator (Gut).¹ Achieving provides the vector for mastery, while Arranging provides the logistical structure necessary for success.¹ In "GoodPerson Anxiety," competence is often hijacked by "Compliance Fusion," where the individual feels effective only in following rules, rather than in self-directed mastery.¹

Relatedness and the Expanding-Accepting Dyad

Relatedness is the need to feel connected to others and to have a sense of belonging.⁵ Structurally, this requires the Expanding operator to reach outward and the Accepting operator to allow for the softening of boundaries.¹ SDT research highlights that when teachers or leaders support these three needs, student or volunteer engagement increases significantly.⁵ The CEF explains this by noting that a supportive environment reduces the load on the system's "Constricting" defense mechanisms, allowing the higher-fulfillment "midline" operators

(Deciding, Achieving, Boosting) to remain online.¹

Somatic Mechanics and Postural Foundations of Need Satisfaction

The CEF's claim to being a "tangible truth" is most evident in its treatment of the body as the primary site of operator activation.¹ Every internal movement corresponds to a specific "Somatic Signature" and a physical "Choreography" used in practitioner protocols.⁶

Grounding and Bioenergetic Stages

The Gut center grounding is achieved through the dual activation of Boosting and Accepting, with the pelvic floor serving as the anatomical anchor.¹ This somatic foundation is essential for meeting the needs of Subsistence and Protection. The framework integrates Bioenergetic Analysis, identifying specific grounding stages that mirror operator functions.¹

Table 4: Bioenergetic Grounding Stages and CEF Operator Analogy

Grounding Stage	Bioenergetic Definition	CEF Operator Analogy	Functional Goal
<i>Holding</i>	Maintaining physical structure	Boosting / Arranging	Stability and readiness.
<i>Supporting</i>	Connection to gravity	Boosting / Accepting	Rooted presence.
<i>Containing</i>	Managing internal pressure	Boosting / Constricting	Resilience to stress.
<i>Limiting</i>	Setting functional boundaries	Constricting	Protection of self.
<i>Sustaining</i>	Ongoing presence and vitality	Boosting / Appreciating	Continuity of energy.
<i>Protecting</i>	Defensive stabilization	Boosting / Arranging	Preservation of structure.
<i>Discharging</i>	Releasing energy into earth	Boosting / Accepting	Integration and rest.

Postural Alignment and the Midline Axis

The midline axis—composed of Deciding, Achieving, Boosting, and Accepting—is physically manifested through postural alignment.¹ Habitual tension in the neck muscles (the "pelvic stress reflex" of the upper body) distorts proprioception and leads to "faulty sensory awareness," which silences the Deciding operator.¹ The CEF uses the Alexander Technique's principle of "Inhibition" to create a volitional pause—a "magical moment of awareness" where the system can move from reflexive bracing (Constricting) to a clear choice (Deciding).¹ This postural clarity is the prerequisite for satisfying the needs of Autonomy and Growth.¹

Bilateral Integration and the Processing of Needs

The CEF association of the left hemisphere with Calculating/Constricting and the right hemisphere with Sensing/Expanding highlights the importance of "midline crossing".¹ The Balancing operators (Deciding, Achieving, Boosting) require trunk rotation and core stability, which physically require crossing the midline.¹ This fosters bilateral integration, allowing the "whole brain" to function as a team. This integration is essential for meeting the need for Understanding, as it allows logical processing (left brain) to interpret feelings and non-verbal cues (right brain).¹

The Mathematical and Technical Specifications of the Human OS

The CEF transition from qualitative description to engineering standard is formalized in its technical specifications (TS-1, TS-2, TS-3).²⁰ These documents define the mathematical rules governing state transitions, activation matrices, and systemic stability.²⁰

State Vectors and Activation Matrices

An emotional state is represented as a 10-dimensional process vector, a 3-dimensional center vector, and a combined state representation S_t .²⁰ Operator activation values are scalar, representing regulatory intensity, and are modulated by 10x10 influence matrices.⁶

1. **Operator Activation Matrix:** Defines how each operator influences every other operator (e.g., how Boosting increases Achieving).⁶
2. **Capacity Thresholds:** The maximum allowable activation before "Overflow" occurs, where activation propagates to an unintended center.⁶
3. **Update Rule:** The function that governs how activation changes over time based on internal and external perturbations.⁶

Capacity Failure Modes and Dysregulation

When the system's capacity to manage emotional load is breached, it enters "Capacity Failure Modes" ⁷:

- **Load Accumulation:** Activation builds faster than the system can distribute, leading to "threshold breach" and emotional overflow.⁷
 - **Modulation Saturation:** The pathways used to quiet (10→0) or intensify (0→10) emotions become blocked, resulting in "Center Rigidity".⁷
 - **Compensatory Over-Activation:** One center tries to compensate for the collapse of another (e.g., the Head center over-Calculating to manage a Heart center collapse).⁷
-

Structural Psychopathology and the GoodPerson Anxiety Pattern (GPAP)

The CEF clinical application is most profound in its redefinition of psychopathology. Rather than treating symptoms, the CEF identifies structural configurations—fusions and silencings—that generate distress.¹ The GoodPerson Anxiety Pattern (GPAP) serves as the primary example of this approach, providing a structural resolution for Avoidant Personality Disorder (AvPD).¹

The Structural Configuration of GPAP

GPAP is characterized by a three-cluster configuration that explains why traditional narrative therapy often fails ¹:

1. **Compliance Fusion:** This is the over-activation of Calculating and Achieving redirected toward social approval. The individual feels they must be "perfect" to be safe, meeting the need for Protection through total compliance.¹
2. **Agency Suppression:** This involves the silencing of the Deciding and Boosting operators. The individual lacks the "charge" required to assert boundaries, leading to passivity.¹
3. **Protest Signals:** Somatic symptoms and rumination are internal alarms signaling that the system's structural integrity has collapsed under the weight of compliance.¹

The resolution involves "Structural Disassembly"—using the Detangling Protocol to separate fused operators and restore the suppressed agency.⁷ This restores the individual's capacity for Autonomy (Deciding) and Mastery (Achieving).¹

Institutional Applications: Auditing the United Nations

The CEF logic extends beyond the individual to social operating systems. Organizations are analyzed as functional complexes where failures arise from operator misalignment.¹ The analysis of the United Nations (UN) serves as a critical case study in institutional structuralism.¹

Table 5: Institutional Operator Audit of the United Nations (UN)

Hub	CEF Operator	UN Functional Analog	Failure Mode (Dysregulation)
<i>Head</i>	Sensing	UNOOSA Earth-observation systems	Data distortion / system collapse.
<i>Head</i>	Calculating	World Bank PAMS / humanitarian models	Analysis Paralysis: Data without action.
<i>Head</i>	Deciding	Security Council mandates	Operator Silencing: Geopolitical deadlock.
<i>Heart</i>	Expanding	Global Compact on Refugees	Operator Overflow: Ambition > capacity.
<i>Heart</i>	Constricting	International Monitoring Systems (IMS)	Loss of Trust: Precision failure.
<i>Heart</i>	Achieving	Peacekeeping (MONUSCO, MINUSCA)	The only vector for physical movement.
<i>Gut</i>	Arranging	UN Innovation Network (UNIN)	Bureaucratic Gravity: Siloed agencies.
<i>Gut</i>	Appreciating	Universal Periodic Review (UPR)	Averaging: Masking vulnerable groups.
<i>Gut</i>	Boosting	The Pandemic Fund / Fast-Track Proc.	Surge Engine: Velocity over inertia.

The "UN 2.0" vision, described as "Architectural Resonance," focuses on aligning these institutional operators to their proper roles.¹ The CEF suggests that the UN fails when it uses Boosting without Accepting (institutional burnout) or Sensing without Deciding (navigational paralysis).¹ By auditing these operators, the framework offers a structural way out of geopolitical traps that traditional diplomacy "goes around the bush" to avoid.¹

The Action-Opinion Divergence: Empirical Insights into Needs

A significant finding from CEF Pilot Study 3 (N=39) is the "divergence between action and opinion" in human response processes.¹ This study highlights how individuals often know what operator they *should* use to satisfy a need, yet reflexively default to a different, often defensive, operator.¹

Table 6: Scenarios of Action-Opinion Divergence and Operator Interpretation

Scenario	Reflexive Action (Reflex)	Idealized Action (Opinion)	CEF Operator Interpretation
<i>Conflict</i>	Constricting (Reduction)	Expanding / Appreciating	Moving from defense to exploration.
<i>Overload</i>	Over-Calculating	Deciding (Commitment)	Transitioning from processing to resolution.
<i>Loss</i>	Ruminative Calculating	Accepting (Release)	Returning to system baseline / metabolic recovery.

This data validates the CEF role as a tool for "Operator Independence".¹ It suggests that individuals can distinguish between their habitual, reflexive responses—rooted in Constricting defense mechanisms—and more effective, agile strategies.¹ The path to mastery involves training the system to bridge this gap, moving from the "Reflex" to the "Opinion" through somatic and mechanical protocols.¹

Technological Infrastructure: ECM and INAS Subsystems

To facilitate this training, the CEF is operationalized through specialized mechanical systems designed to activate emotional operators physically.¹

The Emotional Cycling Machine (ECM) v3.1

The ECM v3.1 is a "gym for the Human OS," designed to activate centers through mechanical resistance and autonomous feedback.¹

Table 7: ECM v3.1 Subsystems and Functional Roles

Subsystem	Component	Functional Role	Technical Spec
Module A	Primary Wheel Assembly	Matches Head, Heart, Gut heights	42-48 cm diameter.
<i>ARE</i>	Autonomous Resistance Engine	Modulates resistance based on load	< 120 ms response.
<i>MAIL</i>	Mechanical-Autonomous Interface	Ensures real-time feedback	< 50 ms latency.
<i>ELMS</i>	Emotional Load Mapping System	Samples micro-tremors and grip pressure	Inferred "load index" (0-100).

The Integrated Neuro-Affective Synchronizer (INAS) v1.0

The INAS v1.0 is the integration engine of the CEF, synchronizing activation across multiple physiological and environmental modes.¹

Table 8: INAS Subsystems and Integration Inputs

INAS Subsystem	Core Function	Integration Input
<i>NARE-1</i>	Rhythm Engine	ECM movement patterns and resonance.
<i>SSL-1</i>	Somatic Layer	Posture mapping and breath rhythm.
<i>CEAM-1</i>	Cognitive Module	Attention-state and cognitive tempo.
<i>ECI-1</i>	Environmental Interface	Soundfield and lighting coherence.

Mode 3 of the INAS, "Somatic-Emotional Coherence," aligns the user's posture and grounding with the emotional activation detected by the ECM.¹ This technology ensures that the user is not just "thinking" about a need but is physically and neurologically embodying the corresponding operator.¹

Conclusion: Emotional Mastery as Structural Alignment

The Core Emotion Framework's mapping of human needs through operator logic offers a paradigm shift in affective science and psychological practice. By replacing the ambiguity of narrative "around the bush" talk with the precision of a functional decalogue, the CEF provides a rigorous, measurable pathway to psychological resilience.¹

Human needs—whether articulated as the personality/fulfillment needs of Robbins, the axiological needs of Maslow, or the basic psychological needs of SDT—are no longer seen as intangible cravings.⁵ Instead, they are revealed as structural requirements for systemic alignment.¹ Autonomy is the result of a clear Deciding operator; Security is the balance of Calculating and Constricting; Growth is the momentum of Boosting; and Belonging is the reach of Expanding and Accepting.¹

The "Simple Tangible Truth" of the CEF is that emotional states are movements that can be calibrated, balanced, and directed.¹ By integrating somatic grounding, postural alignment, and mechanical cycling into a unified Human Operating System, the CEF ensures that both humans and synthetic systems can navigate the complexities of the modern world with precision, mastery, and operator agility.¹ This structural-constructivist resolution provides the ultimate "map" for the psyche, allowing individuals to finally stop feeling lost inside themselves and start operating their internal systems intentionally.²

References

1. Bulgaria, Jamel, (2026). *The Core Emotion Framework: The Simple Tangible Truth of the Human Operating System*. <https://philpapers.org/rec/BULTCE-7>
2. The Missing Map of Emotion: Why People Feel Lost Inside Themselves - Medium, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://medium.com/@jamelbulgaria/the-missing-map-of-emotion-why-people-feel-lost-inside-themselves-fc8f60e787c7>
3. CEF as the Human OS. How a Minimal Emotional Architecture... | by Jamelbulgaria | Apr, 2026 | Medium, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://medium.com/@jamelbulgaria/cef-as-the-human-os-5f9473ed69a0>
4. Canonical Core Emotion Framework (CEF) Definition, Formal Specification & Emotional Architecture - CEF Method, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Core-Essence-Document/>
5. Towards a better understanding of volunteer engagement: self-determined motivations, self-expression needs and co-creation outcomes - UNV Knowledge Portal, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://knowledge.unv.org/sites/default/files/2023-09/Towards%20a%20better%20understanding%20of%20volunteer%20engagement.pdf>
6. Core Emotion Framework (CEF) Glossary & Lexicon: Definitions & Terms, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Glossary/>
7. CEF Practitioner Manual: Emotional Activation, Detangling & Mastery Protocols, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Practitioner-Manuals/>
8. Operators – The 10 Emotional Tools of the CEF Method, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Operators/>

9. The Tony Robbins Model: Identifying The 6 Human Needs - Mike Mandel Hypnosis, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://mikemandelhypnosis.com/personal-growth/tony-robbins-6-human-needs/>
10. The 6 Human Needs: Understanding What Drives Us - Early Years TV, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.earlyyears.tv/the-6-human-needs/>
11. 6 human needs: why are they so important? | Tony Robbins, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.tonyrobbins.com/blog/do-you-need-to-feel-significant>
12. Understanding Tony Robbins 6 Needs That are Core to Humans, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.montrealcbtpsychologist.com/blog/122625-understanding-tony-robbins-6-needs-that-are-core-to-humans>
13. Shift – Move From Awareness Into Action - CEF Method, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Shift/>
14. The Six Human Needs - InSpiral Pathways, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.inspiralpathways.com/blog/why-we-think-and-act-the-way-we-do-the-six-fundamental-human-needs-as-the-basis-for-our-unique-dispositions>
15. Protocols – Step-by-Step Emotional Methods | CEF Method, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Protocols/>
16. Needs - EJOLT, accessed May 12, 2026, <http://www.ejolt.org/2012/12/needs/>
17. Manfred Max-Neef's Fundamental human needs - Wikipedia, accessed May 12, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manfred_Max-Neef%27s_Fundamental_human_needs
18. 1 What are the Fundamental Human Needs? Extract from “Transdisciplinary Economics for Sustainability” Manfred Max-Neef, Econ, accessed May 12, 2026, <http://static1.1.sqspcdn.com/static/f/143768/13585828/1312860498787/8+Fundamenta+Human+Needs+Transcript+PDF.pdf?token=Okw3jhNuGAI1fsQKHymg0svZrr0%3D>
19. Max-Neef on Human Needs and Human-scale Development - The Rainforest Information Centre, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.rainforestinfo.org.au/background/maxneef.htm>
20. Core Emotion Framework Technical Specifications: Architecture, Validation, Computation & Integration - CEF Method, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://cefmethod.com/Technical-Specification/>
21. Self-Determination Theory and Online Learning in University: Advancements, Future Direction and Research Gaps - MDPI, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/21/14655>
22. Self-Determination Theory and Online Learning in University: Advancements, Future Direction and Research Gaps - ResearchGate, accessed May 12, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365239447_Self-Determination_Theory_and_Online_Learning_in_University_Advancements_Future_Direction_and_Research_Gaps

23. The Influence of Refugee Students' Personal Characteristics on Study Success in Online Education, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://d-nb.info/1221433954/34>
24. Teacher Engagement in Language Teaching: Investigating Self-Efficacy for Teaching Based on the Project “Sino-Greece Online Chinese Language Classrooms” - PMC, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8371252/>
25. The Complete Jamel Bulgaria Academic Archive, accessed May 12, 2026, <https://www.optimizeyourcapabilities.com/Publications/>
26. Overview of Contemporary Emotion Frameworks: A Comparative Summary - PhilPeople, accessed May 12, 2026, https://philpeople.org/rails/active_storage/blobs/eyJfcmFpbHMiOnsibWVzc2FnZSI6IkJBaHBCTWxUVUFJPSlsmV4cCl6bnVsbCwicHVyljoiiYmxvYl9pZCJ9fQ==--482432a045570b8090321373cda7b02332f6c537/Overview_of_Contemporary_Emotion_Frameworks.pdf