

Comparative Assessment of Systemic Psychological Interventions: Environmental Pharmacological Augmentation Versus Structural-Constructivist Cognitive Education

Author: Xǔ Chénglán

Email: xuchenglan@zohomail.com

ORCID: [0009-0006-5903-4987](https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5903-4987)

Abstract

This report conducts a comparative evaluation of two population-level mental health strategies: the ambient biological modulation produced by trace psychiatric pharmaceuticals in public water systems, and the structural-constructivist cognitive education model embodied in the Core Emotion Framework (CEF). Drawing on environmental toxicology, epidemiology, affective science, and public health ethics, the analysis demonstrates that unintentional exposure to antidepressants, anxiolytics, hormones, and mood stabilizers already constitutes a de facto mass-medication regime, with documented ecological and potential human risks. Although naturally occurring lithium shows promising correlations with reduced suicide and violence, intentional psychiatric dosing via municipal water supplies fails on toxicological, ethical, legal, and logistical grounds—particularly regarding informed consent, dose variability, and vulnerable populations. In contrast, the CEF offers a non-coercive, low-risk, cognitively empowering alternative that leverages public educational banners to cultivate emotional regulation skills through a ten-operator “Human Operating System.” Supported by early empirical validation and aligned with autonomy-centered public health principles, the CEF represents a scalable, ethically robust strategy for enhancing population-level psychological resilience. The report concludes that public health policy should prioritize pharmaceutical removal from water infrastructure and adopt CEF-based cognitive education as a universal preventive intervention.

Keywords

- Core Emotion Framework (CEF)
- Structural-constructivist psychology
- Public health ethics
- Environmental pharmaceuticals
- Lithium in drinking water
- Mass-medication
- Emotional regulation
- Human Operating System
- Papageno effect
- Informed consent
- Wastewater treatment
- Population mental health

摘要（中文）

本报告对两类面向整体人群的心理健康策略进行了系统比较：其一是公共供水系统中微量精神科药物所形成的环境性生物调节；其二是以核心情绪框架（Core Emotion Framework, CEF）为代表的结构-建构主义认知教育模式。通过整合环境毒理学、流行病学、情绪科学与公共卫生伦理的证据，分析指出：抗抑郁药、抗焦虑药、激素与情绪稳定剂等药物在水体中的普遍存在，事实上已构成一种无意的“群体性用药”状态，并伴随明确的生态风险与潜在人类健康隐患。尽管天然锂含量与自杀率下降之间存在一致的生态学相关性，但通过市政供水进行精神科药物的主动投放在毒理学、安全性、伦理、法律与剂量控制等方面均不可行，尤其无法满足知情同意与对弱势群体的保护要求。相比之下，CEF通过公共教育横幅等方式，以十个“操作子”构建的“人类操作系统”为基础，提供一种非强制、低风险、可扩展的情绪调节技能教育路径。该模式与公共卫生中强调自主性的原则高度一致，并已获得初步实证验证。报告最终建议：公共卫生政策应优先推进水体中现存药物污染的去，并将 CEF 作为普及性心理韧性建设的核心工具。

关键词（中文）

- 核心情绪框架（CEF）

- 结构-建构主义心理学
- 公共卫生伦理
- 环境药物污染
- 饮用水中的锂
- 群体性用药
- 情绪调节
- 人类操作系统
- Papageno 效应
- 知情同意
- 废水处理
- 人群心理健康

The Paradigm of Ambient Biological Intervention: Trace Pharmaceuticals in Public Infrastructure

The consideration of using public water infrastructure as a delivery mechanism for psychiatric stabilization originates from the widespread, unintentional presence of pharmaceuticals in the global water cycle. Modern wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) were primarily designed to mitigate microbial contamination and remove suspended solids, leaving them largely ineffective at sequestering complex, dissolved pharmaceutical compounds.¹ Consequently, the global population is currently subject to an unmonitored "mass-medication" regime through the ingestion of trace amounts of antidepressants, mood stabilizers, and anxiolytics.³

Environmental Prevalence and Biological Persistence

Research has confirmed that between 30 and 90% of an ingested drug may be excreted in its unchanged form, leading to the continuous introduction of biologically active molecules into aquatic environments.² These substances enter the water supply through human excretion, improper disposal, and agricultural runoff, where livestock antibiotics and hormones wash into surface waters.¹ The persistence of these molecules is significant; compounds such as carbamazepine have been found to remain unchanged through

standard treatment processes, leading to detectable levels in tap water across major metropolitan areas.³

The biological impact of these trace amounts is not negligible. Evidence from environmental toxicology indicates that certain pharmaceuticals, such as endocrine disruptors found in steroids and contraceptives, can alter the reproductive behavior and characteristics of aquatic life, even leading to sex changes in fish populations.³ In brook trout, multiple antidepressants have been found concentrated in the liver and brain tissues, suggesting a high potential for bioaccumulation.³ For human populations, the primary concern lies in the "cocktail effect"—the cumulative, chronic exposure to a mixture of dozens of different drugs over decades.¹

Pharmaceutical Category	Common Compounds Detected	Documented Biological Observations	Primary Source in Water Cycle
Antidepressants	Fluoxetine, Citalopram, Amitriptyline	Bioaccumulation in aquatic neural tissue ²	Excretion/Domestic Wastewater ⁴
Mood Stabilizers	Carbamazepine, Lamotrigine	High environmental persistence ²	Improper Disposal/Manufacturing ⁶
Anxiolytics	Diazepam (Valium), Oxazepam	Behavioral alterations in non-target species ⁷	Excretion/Illegal Dumping ⁷
Hormones/Endocrine	Ethinylestradiol, Steroids	Feminization of fish; reproductive disruption ²	Human Excretion/Agribusiness ¹
Analgesics	Diclofenac, Ibuprofen	Potential for medication-disinfection byproducts ⁶	Domestic Waste ⁶

The Case for Lithium: Empirical Data on Population Stabilization

The most robust argument for intentional psychiatric dosing stems from the study of naturally occurring lithium in drinking water. Lithium is a naturally occurring metal found in rocks and soil that dissolves into groundwater.⁸ Unlike the involuntary presence of synthetic pharmaceuticals, trace lithium has been the subject of extensive ecological research investigating its potential as a protective factor against suicide and violent behavior.

A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 ecological studies conducted between 1946 and 2018 demonstrated a consistent inverse association between lithium concentrations in public drinking water and suicide mortality rates.¹⁰ This pattern has been observed across diverse populations in multiple countries, suggesting that even trace amounts of lithium—far below therapeutic dosages—may have a stabilizing effect on mood and impulse control.⁸ Specifically, higher lithium levels have been linked to lower rates of depression, fewer cases of violent crime, and potentially a reduced risk of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's.⁸

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has developed machine learning models to estimate lithium concentrations in groundwater across the United States. These estimates indicate that lithium occurs at concentrations of 30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ or higher in public and private wells throughout much of the western and southwestern states, including Nevada, Texas, and Colorado.⁹ For public health researchers, this data provides a unique opportunity to correlate low-level lithium exposure with human health outcomes.⁹

Toxicological Uncertainty and Vulnerable Populations

Despite the compelling statistical correlations associated with lithium, the transition to intentional administration faces significant toxicological hurdles. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) currently monitors lithium under the Fifth Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR 5) but has not established a formal regulatory standard.¹² A health-based screening level of 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ has been identified, and a provisional oral reference dose (p-RfD) of 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg} - \text{day}$ has been calculated to account for potential adverse effects observed in patients administered therapeutic doses.¹²

Furthermore, the impact of chronic low-level exposure on vulnerable populations, such as infants, pregnant women, and the elderly, remains a critical unknown.³ Infants consuming formula mixed with tap water may be exposed to concentrations that exceed safe thresholds for their developmental stage.¹ There is also evidence suggesting potential negative outcomes, such as associations with autism and thyroid hormone disruptions.⁹

The Structural-Constructivist Paradigm: The Core Emotion Framework (CEF)

As an alternative to biological intervention, the Core Emotion Framework (CEF), developed by researcher Jamel Bulgaria, represents a cognitive-educational approach to public health. The CEF is a structural-constructivist model of affect and psychopathology designed to provide an actionable blueprint for emotional regulation and psychological resilience.¹³

Foundational Theory and the "Human Operating System"

The CEF seeks to bridge the historic divide in affective science between "basic emotions" (innate biological categories) and "constructed emotions" (emergent psychological phenomena).¹⁴ It posits that human emotional experience is constructed from a finite architecture of ten irreducible "primal powers," or Core Emotions, which function as internal operators that process information, regulate relational aperture, and structure action.¹⁴

This framework treats the human psyche as an integrated system—a "Human Operating System" (Human OS)—where psychological health is achieved through "Emotional Flexibility".¹⁵ Emotional Flexibility is defined as the ability to master the dynamic balance between the foundational polarities of Agency (self-assertion) and Yielding (connection).¹⁴ Conversely, psychological distress is reframed as "Emotional Rigidity," where an individual becomes trapped in an over-activated operator cycle, such as "Calculating" (cognitive looping), without the ability to transition to more adaptive states like "Deciding" or "Accepting".¹⁴

The Decalogue of Operators: Technical Architecture

The CEF architecture is organized across three functional centers: Head (Cognitive Focus), Heart (Relational Flow), and Gut (Action).¹⁴ Each center contains three operators, with a tenth integrative operator, "Boosting," which aligns with the neurobiological SEEKING system.¹⁴

Functional Center	Operator	Technical Description
Head (Cognitive)	Sensing	Environmental scanning; grounding in sensory data ¹⁴
	Calculating	Logical processing; algorithmic problem solving ¹³
	Deciding	Path selection; commitment to specific outcomes ¹³
Heart (Relational)	Expanding	Emotional outreach; openness and vulnerability ¹³
	Constricting	Boundary setting; focus and withdrawal ¹⁴
	Achieving	Goal attainment; manifestation and impact ¹³
Gut (Conative)	Arranging	Sequential task management; ordering the world ¹⁴

	Appreciating	Valuing; relational attunement and gratitude ¹⁴
	Boosting	Motivational drive; the SEEKING system activation ¹³
Integrative	Accepting	Baseline stability; endurance and presence ¹⁴

Mechanisms of Skill Acquisition via Public Banners

The deployment of CEF banners in public schools and spaces serves as a mechanism for "Social Norms Marketing" and "Mental Health Literacy".¹⁷ Unlike passive medication, the CEF approach requires active engagement from the individual. Banners in schools, such as the "Color-Me" versions used in programs like "Project Brain," encourage students to promote prevention messages and communicate their own beliefs regarding healthy choices.¹⁸

This strategy utilizes the "Papageno Effect"—a preventive effect where media portrayals of coping mechanisms and positive media messages can decrease suicidal ideation and promote help-seeking behaviors.¹⁷ Research into printed health-educational materials in schools indicates that posters show a lower but statistically significant correlation ($p < 0.05$) with acquired knowledge and changes in attitudes among students compared to control groups.¹⁹ The CEF banners aim to provide a universal, actionable blueprint for emotional regulation, enabling students to "debug" their own internal states through the ten operators.¹⁴

Ethical and Legal Analysis of Mass Interventions

The fundamental tension between the biological and educational paradigms lies in the balance between "Paternalism" and "Respect for Autonomy." These principles are central to public health ethics and are codified in the legal precedents of jurisdictions such as Massachusetts.

Autonomy, Informed Consent, and Bodily Integrity

"Respect for Autonomy" is the principle that individuals should have the authority to make decisions about their own medical treatment and bodies.²¹ The intentional administration of psychiatric medication in communal tap water is, by definition, a non-consensual intervention. The National Pure Water Association (NPWA) argues that such acts are unethical, as they subject competent individuals to medical interventions they may neither need nor want, violating Article 5 of the European Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine.²²

In Massachusetts, the legal framework for psychiatric medication is particularly robust. Informed consent is considered integral to recovery-oriented care, requiring clinicians to ensure that individuals understand the benefits, risks, and alternatives to any prescribed medication.²³ This policy applies regardless of an individual's perceived capacity, with clinicians required to work toward increasing a patient's capacity to give consent.²³ Furthermore, for residents in long-term care, Massachusetts law (M.G.L. c. 111, section 72BB) mandates written informed consent prior to the administration of psychotropic medications, including specific dosage and side effect information.²⁵

Educational banners, conversely, represent a low-risk intervention that preserves autonomy. They provide an invitation for the individual to participate in their own health care decision-making process by offering skills and information.²⁶ This approach aligns with the "Solidarity Principle," where community education promotes health-promoting lifestyles without coercive biological control.²⁸

Beneficence vs. Nonmaleficence in Public Health

The principle of "Beneficence" requires that actions be taken to maximize benefits to individuals and society, while "Nonmaleficence" mandates that such actions "do no harm".²¹ While water fluoridation is widely accepted as a beneficent public health measure due to its cost-effectiveness and safety in preventing dental caries, the pharmacological profile of psychiatric medications is vastly more complex.³⁰

The "cocktail effect" of multiple drugs in the water supply and the production of toxic medication-disinfection byproducts create a high risk of nonmaleficence.¹ Public health officials have an ethical duty to inform persons of the risks and benefits of interventions; however, providing such information is impossible in the context of mass, involuntary water-based medication.²¹

Ethical/Legal Framework	Water-Based Medication Strategy	CEF Public Banner Strategy
Respect for Autonomy	Bypasses individual choice; potentially coercive ²¹	High; relies on voluntary engagement and skill acquisition ¹⁷
Informed Consent	Impossible to achieve at a population scale ²¹	Consistent with educational disclosure standards ²³
Nonmaleficence	High risk of environmental and biological harm (toxicology) ³	Minimal risk; potential for positive psychological outcomes ¹⁷

Distributive Justice	High; reaches all socioeconomic strata equally ³⁰	Variable; dependent on school funding and visibility ¹⁷
Massachusetts Law	Likely violates informed consent statutes (72BB) ²³	Compatible with recovery and education principles ²³

Economic and Logistical Viability

The logistical implementation of these two strategies involves fundamentally different capital and operational requirements.

Infrastructure Modification vs. Information Distribution

Water fluoridation is lauded for its cost-effectiveness, typically costing between \$0.68 and \$3.00 per person per year in the United States.³² However, psychiatric medications are significantly less stable than fluoride minerals. Administering controlled, pharmacological doses of antidepressants or mood stabilizers would require a massive upgrade to water treatment infrastructure to prevent degradation and ensure consistent concentration levels across a municipal grid.² Furthermore, current treatment systems are often unable to remove existing pharmaceuticals, suggesting that the precision required for intentional dosing is currently unattainable.¹

The CEF banner strategy requires significantly lower investment. The primary costs are associated with the design, printing, and distribution of banners and associated educational materials, such as the "ECM Lite" instructions for mass adoption.¹³ These materials can be integrated into existing school and civic budgets without requiring specialized biochemical infrastructure.

The Problem of Target Specificity and Dose Response

One of the most significant logistical failures of the water-based approach is the lack of "dose-response" control. Individuals consume vastly different quantities of water based on their age, physical activity, and medical conditions.³ This variability makes it impossible to deliver a standardized therapeutic dose to those who might benefit while avoiding over-exposure in vulnerable populations.¹

The CEF approach provides a self-regulated dose-response. An individual needing more support in "Arranging" (sequential ordering) can spend more time interacting with the specific CEF module or exercise machine designed for that operator.¹³ This allows for a modular and personalized application of the framework that is inherently scalable without increasing biological risk.

Second and Third-Order Insights: The Evolution of Public Health

A deeper analysis of the research reveals underlying trends and causal relationships that extend beyond the immediate comparison of the two interventions.

The "Human OS" as a Preventative Infrastructure

The development of the CEF by Bulgaria signals a fundamental shift in psychopathology from a "chemical imbalance" model to a "structural-technical" model.¹⁶ By reframing mental health as a failure of "Operator Agility," the CEF suggests that the future of public health lies in providing the cognitive infrastructure necessary for individuals to manage their own internal states.¹⁴ If successfully validated, the CEF could become a "universal, actionable blueprint" that functions as a mental health equivalent to basic literacy or hygiene.¹⁴

The Accidental Reality of Mass-Medication

A paradoxical insight from the environmental data is that we are *already* living in a regime of mass-medication, albeit an unintentional and unmonitored one.¹ The presence of six different antidepressants in fish brains indicates that the ecological impact is already occurring.³ Therefore, the debate is not merely about whether to *start* medicating the population, but whether to *control* the medications that are already there. The research suggests that the most ethical path is to invest in "better wastewater treatment" to remove existing pollutants rather than adding more.²

The Papageno Effect as a Scalable Protective Layer

The success of school-based media campaigns and posters suggests that "herd immunity" in mental health can be achieved through the widespread dissemination of coping skills.¹⁷ The CEF banners, by distilling complex emotional regulation into ten simple operators, provide a "protective effect" that can be scaled across populations without the biological side effects of pharmaceuticals. This suggests that the "preventive effect" of media is a potent, underutilized tool in public health strategy.

Pilot Study Findings and Ongoing Validation

The empirical status of the CEF is currently being established through rigorous "Open

Science" protocols. Jamel Bulgaria has registered a multi-phase validation protocol on the Open Science Framework (OSF) to confirm the factor structure of the CEF Scale.¹⁴

Preliminary data from "Pilot Study 3" utilized scenario-based ratings to test whether the ten operators formed distinct psychological dimensions. Participants were presented with vignettes involving overload, conflict, or loss and asked to rate the likelihood of responding in ways aligned with specific CEF operators (e.g., "Sensing" to ground oneself, or "Arranging" to sort tasks).¹⁴ The findings suggest that these operators do indeed form distinct but correlated factors, rather than collapsing into a general emotionality factor.¹⁴ This provides a foundational empirical basis for the framework's practical application in public health settings.

The CEF's clinical utility is further explored in practitioner manuals (PM-series) that detail protocols for "Structural Disassembly" and "Emotional Transition Mastery".¹³ These tools are intended to help clinicians—and, by extension, the public—manage complex states like anxiety and personality disorders through the systematic recalibration of the ten operators.¹³

Conclusion: A Multi-Dimensional Public Health Strategy

The comparison between communal water-based psychiatric medication and the deployment of CEF banners reveals a clear hierarchy of efficacy, ethics, and feasibility.

The biological intervention through communal water, while supported by compelling ecological correlations regarding lithium, fails on the grounds of autonomy, informed consent, and toxicological safety. The inability to control dosage and the high risk of environmental harm through the "cocktail effect" and disinfection byproducts render it a high-risk, paternalistic strategy that is likely illegal in jurisdictions like Massachusetts.

The cognitive-educational intervention through CEF banners represents a low-risk, high-autonomy alternative. By providing a structural-constructivist architecture for emotional regulation, it empowers individuals to manage their own mental health through skill acquisition. This strategy aligns with the legal requirements for informed consent and clinical education, and it utilizes proven mechanisms like the Papageno effect to foster community-wide resilience.

Therefore, for public health, it is decisively better to:

- 1. Prioritize the removal of existing pharmaceuticals from the water supply** through advanced filtration technologies, such as reverse osmosis and titanium

- dioxide nanofibers, to mitigate the current unmonitored mass-medication regime.¹
2. **Deploy Core Emotion Framework (CEF) banners and educational materials** in public schools and spaces to provide a universal, actionable blueprint for emotional regulation.¹³
 3. **Continue the empirical validation of the CEF operators** to refine its clinical and public health applications, ensuring that the "Human OS" framework remains a falsifiable and scientifically robust tool for population-level wellness.¹⁵

This approach transitions public health from a model of passive biological management to one of active cognitive empowerment, fulfilling the ethical mandate to respect individual autonomy while maximizing community-wide beneficence.

References

1. Study Finds Traces of 50+ Prescription Drugs in U.S. Drinking Water. H, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://uswatersystems.com/blogs/blog/study-finds-traces-of-50-prescription-drugs-in-u-s-drinking-water-heres-what-homeowners-should-know>
2. Environmental pollution with psychiatric drugs - PMC - NIH, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8546762/>
3. Swallowing the pharmaceutical waters - PMC - NIH, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3273502/>
4. Pharmaceutical Drugs Found in America's Tap Water: Should You Be Concerned?, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.springwellwater.com/pharmaceutical-drugs-in-americas-tap-water/>
5. Drugs in Our Drinking Water? - WebMD, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.webmd.com/a-to-z-guides/features/drugs-in-our-drinking-water>
6. Pharmaceuticals in drinking water: a scoping review to raise pharmacists' public health and environmental awareness on contamination in groundwater, surface water, and other sources | International Journal of Pharmacy Practice | Oxford Academic, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://academic.oup.com/ijpp/article/33/4/360/8154562>
7. Anxiety drugs are getting into the water we drink. This is how we could stop them, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2018/02/anxiety-drugs-are-getting-inside-the-water-we-drink/>
8. What Science Says About Lithium Levels in Drinking Water and Public Health, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.psychiatryredefined.org/what-science-says-about-lithium-levels-in-drinking-water-and-public-health/>
9. USGS-led study estimates lithium in groundwater that can be used for drinking water, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.usgs.gov/news/national-news-release/usgs-led-study-estimates-lithium-groundwater-can-be-used-drinking-water>
10. Association between naturally occurring lithium in drinking water and suicide rates: systematic review and meta-analysis of ecological studies - PubMed, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32716281/>
11. New Study Estimates Lithium in Groundwater That Can Be Used for Drinking Water - DRI, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.dri.edu/new-study-estimates-lithium-in-groundwater/>
12. Technical Fact Sheet: Lithium in Drinking Water - EPA, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-11/ucmr5-technical-fact-sheet-lithium-in-drinking-water.pdf>

13. The Complete Jamel Bulgaria Academic Archive, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.optimizeyourcapabilities.com/Publications/>
14. Jamel Bulgaria - OSF, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://osf.io/hz53j>
15. Pre-Registration Protocol: Open Validation of the Core Emotion Framework (CEF) Scale – Phase 1: Construct Definition, Item Generation, and Multi-Level Factor Structure Confirmation - OSF, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://osf.io/fydsq/overview>
16. Jamel Bulgaria (Independent Researcher) - PhilPeople, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://philpeople.org/profiles/jamel-bulgaria>
17. A Systematic Review of the Impacts of Media Mental Health Awareness Campaigns on Young People - PMC, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11370183/>
18. Using Banners to Raise Mental Health Awareness in Schools - S&S Blog, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.ssw.com/blog/using-banners-to-raise-awareness-in-schools/>
19. The Effectiveness of Leaflets and Posters as a Health Education Method - PubMed, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32843863/>
20. The Effectiveness of Leaflets and Posters as a Health Education Method - PMC, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7428890/>
21. Ethics of Public Health | Encyclopedia.com, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.encyclopedia.com/education/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/ethics-public-health>
22. COH0025 - Evidence on Children's oral health - UK Parliament Committees, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://committees.parliament.uk/writtenevidence/56847/html/>
23. DRAFT EDITS to INFORMED CONSENT POLICY - Mass.gov, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.mass.gov/doc/14-01-informed-consent-policy-effective-september-29-2014/download>
24. Informed Consent in Massachusetts | Crowe & Harris, LLP, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.croweandharris.com/medical-malpractice/informed-consent/>
25. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts - Mass.gov, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.mass.gov/doc/circular-letter-17-2-699-revised-informed-consent-for-use-of-psychotropic-medications-in-0/download>
26. Informed Consent | AMA-Code - Code of Medical Ethics, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/informed-consent>
27. Informed Consent | UW Department of Bioethics & Humanities, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://depts.washington.edu/bhdept/ethics-medicine/bioethics-topics/detail/67>
28. Ethical Issues in Public Health - PMC - NIH, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7149338/>
29. a framework for producing public health policy across the European Union, accessed May 11, 2026, https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/100247/1/EuroPHENfullreport_libre.pdf
30. Oral and Craniofacial Diseases and Disorders - NCBI - NIH, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK11725/>
31. Best Practice Approaches for State and Community Oral health Programs - ASTDD, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.astdd.org/bestpractices/wsc-bpar-final-3-2017.pdf>
32. 11: ORAL HEALTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH PROMOTION | Pocket Dentistry, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://pocketdentistry.com/11-oral-health-education-and-health-promotion/>
33. 2024 Mass-STEP Posters - MAPNET, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://www.mapnet.online/2024-mass-step-posters>
34. The Science Of Fluoride, accessed May 11, 2026, <http://americanfluoridationsociety.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/a-The-Science-of-Fluoride-Policy-and-Information-on-Fluoride-Herschel-Horowitz-DDS.pdf>
35. What to do about the antidepressants, antibiotics and other drugs in our water | Ensia, accessed May 11, 2026, <https://ensia.com/features/what-to-do-about-the-antidepressants-antibiotics-and-other-drugs-in-our-water/>